

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/663,211	HASSETT, ALAN F.
	Examiner Christopher Upton	Art Unit 1724

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Christopher Upton.

(3) _____

(2) Martin Belisario.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

26-28

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

CHRISTOPHER UPTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: IT was agreed to amend claim 26 to recite the three pipes within the outer pipe structure, similar to claim 15, to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record. It was agreed to amend claims 27 and 28 to recite the distribution pipe supplying effluent to an outer pipe and retaining the effluent in the outer pipe, similar to claims 1 and 22, to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record..